LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

Office-Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
JUN 16 1966
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1965

No. ~~1325~~ 145

EDWARD T. ROEHNER,

Petitioner,

—*v.*—

THE ASSOCIATION OF THE BAR OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, *et al.*,

Respondents.

PETITIONER'S REPLY BRIEF

EDWARD T. ROEHNER
Attorney for Petitioner
250 West 57th Street
New York, N. Y. 10019

In the

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1965

No. 1325

Edward T. Roehner,

Petitioner,

—*v.*—

The Association of the Bar of the City of New York, *et al.*,

Respondents.

PETITIONER'S REPLY BRIEF

Respondents do not dispute petitioner's illustrations of subservience by the Supreme Court, New York County, and compliancy by the Appellate Division, First Judicial Department, to the defendant The Association of the Bar of the City of New York. This is a clear admission that the order petitioner seeks to have reviewed is a final order under the tests this Court applies.

Respondents argue that whether an order is final is a question of state law, saying of the order petitioner seeks to have reviewed, "As indicated by the New York Court of Appeals, it is not a final order, and no argument can make it so." (Br. 3.) This Court holds to the contrary. *E.g.*, in *Gotthilf* v. *Sills*, 376 U. S. 79, 80 (1963), it said that whether an order is final is "a question of purely federal law."

The petition for writ of certiorari presents a grave and continuing question regarding the administration of justice in both state and federal courts, even including the perpetration of fraud upon this Court. Respondents touch none of petitioner's charges in their brief in opposition, not even his charge (Br. 16) of fraud upon the Court.

Respectfully submitted,

EDWARD T. ROEHNER
Attorney for Petitioner

June 14, 1966